1	Robert A. Mittelstaedt (SBN 060359) Jason McDonell (SBN 115084)		
2	Elaine Wallace (SBN 197882) JONES DAY		
3	555 California Street, 26 th Floor		
4	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 626-3939 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700		
5	Facsimile: (415) 875-5700 ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com jmcdonell@jonesday.com		
6	ewallace@jonesday.com		
7	Tharan Gregory Lanier (SBN 138784) Jane L. Froyd (SBN 220776)		
8	JONES DAY 1755 Embarcadero Road		
9	Palo Alto, CA 94303 Telephone: (650) 739-3939		
10	Facsimile: (650) 739-3930 tglanier@jonesday.com		
11			
12	Scott W. Cowan (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Joshua L. Fuchs (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)		
13	JONES DAY 717 Texas, Suite 3300		
14	Houston, TX 77002 Telephone: (832) 239-3939		
15	Facsimile: (832) 239-3600 swcowan@jonesday.com		
16	jlfuchs@jonesday.com		
17	Attorneys for Defendants SAP AG, SAP AMERICA, INC., and		
18	TOMORROWNOW, INC.		
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
21	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
22	ORACLE CORPORATION, et al.,	Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH	
23	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF JASON McDONELL IN SUPPORT OF	
24	V.	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY OR EXTEND TIME TO COMPLY	
25	SAP AG, et al.,	WITH JULY 3, 2008 DISCOVERY ORDER	
26	Defendants.	Date: N/A	
27		Time: N/A Courtroom: N/A	
28		Judge: Hon. Elizabeth D. Laporte	
	SFI-588103v2	McDONELL DECL. ISO DEFS.'MOT. TO STAY OR EXTEND TIME TO COMPLY WITH DISCOVERY ORDER Case No. 07-CV-1658 PJH	
	I		

I, JASON McDONELL, declare:

I am a partner in the law firm of Jones Day, 555 California Street, San Francisco, California 94104, a member in good standing of the bar of this state, and counsel of record for Defendants SAP AG, SAP Americas, and TomorrowNow, Inc. in the above-captioned action. I make this declaration based on personal knowledge and, if called upon to do so, could testify competently thereto.

- 1. On July 3, 2008, the Magistrate Judge issued an order directing, among other things, defendants to produce to Oracle, no later than July 15, 2008, certain documents produced to the grand jury. Dkt. 106.
- 2. On July 13, 2006, defendants filed a Stipulation and [Proposed] Order to Extend Time to Comply with Discovery Order, requesting an extension to July 23, 2008 to comply with the discovery order on grounds, among others, that defendants' wanted the full period of time until July 18, 2008 to decide whether to appeal. Dkt. 113. On July 17, 2008, the Magistrate Judge granted this extension. Dkt. 115.
- 3. In my declaration in support of the stipulated order, I explained that if defendants decided to appeal, to avoid mooting of the appeal, they would seek a stay of that part of the order requiring defendants to produce to Oracle documents provided to the grand jury. Dkt. 114.
- 4. Defendants have now decided to appeal the order by filing objections with Judge Hamilton and thus defendants request an additional extension of time to comply with the order until a date seven (7) days after the disposition by Judge Hamilton of defendants' objections.
- 5. If defendants were required to comply with the order before their objections are decided by Judge Hamilton, the resulting production of the documents would moot some or all of the defendants' objections. Without an extension, substantial harm or prejudice will occur to defendants as the required production would disclose the materials that defendants maintain are protected by Fed. R. Crim. P. 6(e) and should not be produced to Oracle. Once that disclosure has been made it cannot be undone as a practical matter.